

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,596	02/12/2004	Katsuhiko Shinyama	SNY-044	3499
20374 75	10/13/2006		EXAMINER	
KUBOVCIK & KUBOVCIK			THOMPSON, MELISSA	
SUITE 710 900 17TH STR	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1745	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/12/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Commence	10/776,596	SHINYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Melissa B. Thompson	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ap	<u>oril 2005</u> .				
· <u> </u>	<i>,</i> —				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 2/12/2004 is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attach == aut(a)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/12/2004, 4(28/2005)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/776,596 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayashi et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5707761).

With respect to claims 1 and 3, Hayashi et al. disclose an alkaline storage battery with a positive and negative electrode, a separator, a hydrogen storage or absorbing alloy, an alkaline electrolyte, and a stabilizing agent in the positive electrode (column 2 lines 12-46 and Figure 1). With regard to claims 2 and 4, the stabilizing agent covers the cobalt hydroxide powder in the positive electrode (column 2 lines 11-21). The stabilizing agent can be lactic acid (column 2 lines 22-24), the hydrogen storage alloy in the negative electrode contains manganese (column 5 lines 15-20). The lactic acid dissolved in the electrolyte inherently forms a complex with manganese. Hayashi et al. include that the alkaline electrolyte is injected into the battery casing in column 5 lines 43-45, which means that the stabilizing agent covered the cobalt hydroxide particles is inherently contained in the electrolyte since the lactic aid dissolves in the alkaline electrolyte.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,596 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5707761).

Hayashi et al. disclose all of the elements required for claims 5 and 6 as stated in the rejection of claims 1-4 in paragraph 2 above, with the exception of sodium lactate. Hayashi et al. disclose the use of lactic acid to treat the cobalt hydroxide, in column 2 line 24, which is then immersed into the alkaline electrolyte solution (potassium hydroxide). With respect to claim 7 Hayashi et al. detail that 0.01 to 10 mg of the stabilizing agent are used per 1 g of cobalt hydroxide powder (column 2 lines 37-40) and that 5 g (column 5 line 9) of powder were used in the experiment to 54 mL of the alkaline electrolyte solution (column 5 line 43). Assuming that all of the stabilizing agent used is dissolved into the electrolyte solution, 0.05 to 50mg of lactic acid would be in the electrolyte or 5.5x10⁻⁴ mol of lactic acid in the electrolyte solution

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use sodium hydroxide instead of potassium hydroxide because they are equivalent alkaline electrolyte solutions in the art (MPEP 2144.06). Substituting sodium for potassium would not affect the battery and would inherently place sodium into the solution of cobalt hydroxide and lactic acid. By combining the electrolyte solution with the lactic acid treated cobalt hydroxide would allow the sodium to combine with the lactate from the lactic acid and inherently provide sodium lactate in the battery. With respect to claim 7, the amount of sodium lactate in the 54ml electrolyte solution would be 6.2x10⁻²mL to 62mL which would give a concentration of 1mg-1148mg per liter of electrolyte solution. The claimed range lies inside the range of the disclosed prior art and is unpatentable unless it produces a new and unexpected result, which is different in kind and not merely degree from the results of the prior art. (MPEP 2144.05)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa B. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2758. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Trainer Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on (571) 272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,596 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MBT

SUSYTSANG-FOSTER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Away Jony Foster